



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/593,924	06/13/2000	Roy Kenneth Chrisop	8371-102	7875

20575 7590 12/04/2003

MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC  
1030 SW MORRISON STREET  
PORTLAND, OR 97205

EXAMINER

FAULK, DEVONA E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2644

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/593,924

Applicant(s)

CHRISOP ET AL.

Examiner

Devona E. Faulk

Art Unit

2644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 June 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 June 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2644

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1,2,5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Law (U. S. Patent 6,064,699).

Regarding claim 1, Law discloses a wireless speaker system comprising a receiver including an audio signal destination (210) that may refer to a speaker, TV set and other similar acoustic devices and apparatuses (column 4, line 52), which reads on a speaker system operable to generate sound"; and a transmitter<sup>(150)</sup> including a RF modulator (140) that modulates a phase-locked voltage controlled oscillator to produce a frequency modulated signal (142), the frequency modulated carrier preferably being over 900 MHz. The modulated signal is sent to the RF amplifier (170). In a preferred embodiment, a maximum of five separate audio sources will transmit signals the five audio sources may comprise, the front (right, middle, and left) and the rear (right and left) audio signals of a surround sound speaker system (column 1, line 20) It is obvious therefore that the information going into the transmitter contains some speaker characteristics. Thus the RF modulator will be transmitting will also include that information. This reads on "a

Art Unit: 2644

communication module operable to transmit information to an amplifier in response to a carrier signal, wherein the information includes speaker characteristics". A module is defined a self-contained assembly of electronic components and circuitry. Therefore, Law anticipates all elements of claim 1.

Claim 2 claims the speaker system of claim 1, wherein the communication module transmits information to the amplifier across wires. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law anticipates all elements of that claim. Therefore Law anticipates all elements of claim 2 with the exception that the communication module transmits information to the amplifier across wires. Figure 1 has lines connecting the elements, which indicates that more than likely there is inherently some sort of wire connection between the RF modulator and the RF amplifier, and although the speaker system itself is wireless, it is inherent that the transmitter itself would be connected by some sort of circuitry. Therefore, Law anticipates all elements of claim 2.

Claim 5 claims the speaker system of claim 1, wherein the communication module has high impedance to avoid placing a load on the speaker that would degrade system performance. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law anticipates all elements of that claim. Therefore, Law anticipates all elements of claim 4 with the exception of the communication module having high impedance to avoid placing a load on the speaker that would degrade system performance. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law teaches of a RF modulator. Impedance is an inherent feature of a modulator. Therefore, Law anticipates all elements of claim 5.

Claim 6 claims the speaker system of claim 1, wherein the communication module communicates via one of the group comprising: amplitude modulation, phase-

Art Unit: 2644

shift keying and tow-tone modulation. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law anticipates all elements of that claim. Therefore, Law anticipates all elements of claim 6 with the exception that the communication module communicates via one of the group comprising: amplitude modulation, phase-shift keying and tow-tone modulation. Law further teaches of the analog-to-digital encoder section (Figure 3) of the audio encoder (120) of the transmitter. Figure 3 indicates that CVSD (continuously variable slope delta modulation is used). CVSD is a type of amplitude modulation. The signal that is going into the RF modulator has been CVSD modulated. Therefore, Law anticipates all elements of claim 6.

4. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Konno (U. S. Patent 6,282,296).

Regarding claim 15, Konno discloses an audio reproducing apparatus comprising a speaker unit (14), which reads on “a speaker hardware operable to generate sound from an audio signal received from the speaker connectors”; a high pass filter (17), which reads on “a high-pass filter operable to pass a high frequency carrier signal received from the speaker connector”, a rectifier (20) that converts the AC signal supplied from the adder to a DC signal and then feeds that to a variable gain circuit, which reads on “a rectifier operable to receive the high frequency carrier signal and convert it to a power signal” The adder adds an output signal from the low-pass filter (18) and the high-pass filter (17); and a variable gain circuit (11) that feeds an output signal to the amplifier (column 2, line 39), which reads on “a communications module operable to receive the power signal from the rectifier and transmit characteristics of the speaker hardware to the

Art Unit: 2644

amplifier using the speaker connector". A module is defined a self-contained assembly of electronic components and circuitry. Thus the variable gain circuit is a module.

It is inherent that there is some connection between the amplifier and the speaker system because the speaker system would not be able to receive the signal if there were no connection. Therefore, Konno anticipates all elements of claim 15.

Claim 16 claims the speaker system of claim 15, wherein the speaker connector connects the speaker system to the amplifier with wires. As stated above apropos of claim 15, Konno anticipates all elements of that claim. Therefore, Konno anticipates all elements of claim 16 with the exception that the speaker connector connects the speaker system to the amplifier with wires. Figure 1 has lines connecting the elements, which indicates that there is inherently a wire connection to all the elements because a wireless connection would not have the lines connecting the elements. Therefore, Konno anticipates all elements of claim 16.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3, 8-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Law (U. S. Patent 6,064,699).

Art Unit: 2644

Claim 3 claims the speaker system of claim 1, wherein the communication module transmits information to the amplifier using a wireless connection. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law anticipates all elements of that claim. Therefore Law anticipates all elements of claim 2 with the exception that that the communication module transmits information to the amplifier using a wireless connection. Although Figure 1 of Law's disclosure indicates that there is probably some sort of physical connection through wires between the RF modulator and the RF amplifier, it is obvious that if one wanted wireless communication that all communication could be wireless if desired. Wireless communication is well known in the art and thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use wireless communication as the method of transmitting for the benefit of having a more flexible speaker system.

Claim 8 claims the speaker system of claim 1, wherein the information transmitted by the communication module is transmitted in a frequency band that overlaps the audio signal. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law anticipates all elements of that claim. Therefore, Law anticipates all elements of claim 8 with the exception that the information transmitted by the communication module is transmitted in a frequency band that overlaps the audio signal. It is indicative of the fact the audio signal and the information are being transmitted in the same band. It is obvious that in normal operation that the audio signal and any information would be transmitted in the same frequency band. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Law's transmission system under normal operation for the benefit of having a high-fidelity system.

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding claim 9, Law discloses a wireless speaker system comprising a transmitter including a RF modulator (140) that modulates a phase-locked voltage controlled oscillator to produce a frequency modulated signal (142), the frequency modulated carrier preferably being over 900 MHz. The modulated signal is sent to the RF amplifier (170); and a receiver including an antenna (272), which obviously receives the signal from the transmitter. The speaker system obviously has a power source. A rectifier is a device that converts alternation current to direct current. It would have been obvious to make the power source a rectifier so that a DC or an AC source could be used , which reads on " rectifying power from the carrier signal in a speaker system, wherein the power is used by a communication module". Produce is another word for generate and produce means to bring forth or yield. It is inherent that there is some connection between the amplifier and the speaker system because the speaker system would not be able to receive the signal if there were no connection. This reads on "generating a carrier signal from an amplifier to the speaker system through a connection between the amplifier and the speaker system". It is obvious that information will be transmitted as long as there is a signal, which reads on "transmitting information to the amplifier using the communication module for as long as the carrier signal is present at the speaker system". Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a rectifier into the transmitter of Law's wireless speaker system for the benefit of the system being able to have a DC or an AC power source.

Claim 10 claims the method of claim 9, the method further comprising presenting high impedance at an interface between the communication module and the speaker system connection and at all frequencies with a normal audio range of the speaker. As



Art Unit: 2644

stated above apropos of claim 9, Law meets all elements of that claim. Therefore Law meets all elements of claim 10 with the exception of method further comprising presenting high impedance at an interface between the communication module and the speaker system connection and at all frequencies with a normal audio range of the speaker. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law anticipates all elements of that claim. Therefore, Law anticipates all elements of claim 4 with the exception of the communication module having high impedance to avoid placing a load on the speaker that would degrade system performance. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law teaches of a RF modulator. Impedance is an inherent feature of a modulator. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Law's RF modulator for the benefit of being able to produce a carrier signal.

Claim 11 claims the method of claim 9 further comprising transmitting information from the amplifier to the speaker system. As stated above apropos of claim 9, Law meets all elements of that claim. Therefore Law meets all elements of claim 10 with the exception of transmitting information from the amplifier to the speaker system. Law teaches of a transmitter and receiver, the receiver including an amplifier (270) that feeds the output to the signal destination (210), which could be a speaker. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Law's speaker system for the benefit of being able to transmit sound.

Claim 12 claims method of claim 9, wherein transmitting information to the amplifier is accomplished using one of the group comprising: amplitude modulation, phase-shift keying and tow-tone modulation. As stated above apropos of claim 9, Law meets all elements of that claim. Therefore, Law and Konno meets all elements of claim

Art Unit: 2644

12 with the exception that transmitting information to the amplifier is accomplished using one of the group comprising: amplitude modulation, phase-shift keying and tow-tone modulation. Law further teaches of the analog-to-digital encoder section (Figure 3) of the audio encoder (120) of the transmitter. CVSD (continuously variable slope delta modulation) is used. CVSD is a type of amplitude modulation. The signal that is going into the RF modulator has been CVSD modulated. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use CVSD modulation for the benefit of being able to perform D/A conversions of audio signals.

Claim 14 claims the method of claim 9, wherein the information is transmitted in a frequency band that overlaps a frequency band used by the audio signal. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law meets all elements of that claim. Therefore, Law meets all elements of claim 14 with the exception that the information transmitted by the communication module is transmitted in a frequency band that overlaps the audio signal. This indicates that the audio signal and the information can be transmitted in the same band. It is obvious that in normal operation that the audio signal and any information would be transmitted in the same frequency band. The method of operation is obviously present. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Law's method of operating a speaker system for the benefit of having a high-fidelity system.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Law (U. S. Patent 6,064,699) in view of Konno et al. (U. S. Patent (U. S. 282, 296)

Claim 4 claims the speaker system of claim 1, wherein the speaker system further comprises a high-pass filter and rectifier operable to derive output power from the carrier

Art Unit: 2644

signal. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law meets all elements of that claim.

Therefore Law meets all elements of claim 4 with the exception of comprising a high-pass filter and rectifier operable to derive output power from the carrier signal. Konno further teaches of an audio reproducing apparatus comprising high pass filter and a rectifier (20) that converts the AC signal supplied from the adder to a DC signal, the adder adds the signal from the HPF (17) and the LPF (18). Thus the rectifier serves as a source of power. The HPF enables attenuation of the RF signal. Incorporating the HPF and rectifier of Konno's audio reproducing apparatus into the receiver of Law's wireless speaker system reads on "a high pass filter and rectifier operable to derive output power from the carrier signal". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the features of for the benefit of having a speaker system that can reproduce sound in a noisy environment.

6. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Law (U. S. Patent 6,064,699) in view of Zuquert (U. S. Patent 6,466,832).

Claim 7 claims the speaker system of claim 1, wherein the information transmitted by the communication module is transmitted in a separate frequency band from the audio signal. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law meets all elements of that claim. Therefore Law meets all elements of claim 7 with the exception of the information transmitted by the communication module is transmitted in a separate frequency band from the audio signal. Law indicates that his disclosed wireless speaker system can have up to five audio sources and five corresponding receivers. Zuquert discloses a two-way wireless speaker system comprising at least two receivers (404 and 406). Comprising receiver units including transmitting units (404 and 406) that both

Art Unit: 2644

transmit short control messages on a separate frequency band from the digital audio transmissions (column 21, lines 1-10). Zupert's transmitter, (Figure. 2), comprises two VCO's (73) which would transmit data to their corresponding amplifiers (75). It is obvious that any information transmitted would be transmitted on a separate frequency than the audio signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace at least one of the transmitters of Law's wireless speaker system for the benefit of achieving high fidelity audio transmission.

Claim 13 claims the method of claim 9, wherein the information is transmitted in a frequency band separate from a frequency band used by an audio signal. As stated above apropos of claim 1, Law meets all elements of that claim. Therefore Law meets all elements of claim 13 with the exception of the information is transmitted in a frequency band separate from a frequency band used by an audio signal. Law indicates that his disclosed wireless speaker system can have up to five audio sources and five corresponding receivers. Zuquert discloses a two-way wireless speaker system comprising at least two receivers (404 and 406). Comprising receiver units including transmitting units (404 and 406) that transmit short control messages on a separate frequency band from the digital audio transmissions (column 21, lines 1-10). ). Zupert's transmitter, (Figure. 2), comprises two VCO's (73) which would transmit data to their corresponding amplifiers (75). It is obvious that any information transmitted would be transmitted on a separate frequency than the audio signal. The method of operation is obviously present. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace at least one of the transmitters of Law's wireless speaker system for the benefit of achieving high fidelity audio transmission.

Art Unit: 2644

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Konno (U.S. Patent 6,282,296).


Claim 17 claims the speaker system of claim 15 wherein the speaker connector connects the speaker to the amplifier using a wireless connection. As stated above apropos of claim 15, Konno anticipates all elements of that claim. Therefore Konno anticipates all elements of claim 17 with the exception of the speaker connector connecting the speaker to the amplifier using a wireless connection. Wireless communication is well known in the art and thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use wireless communication as the method of connecting the speaker to the amplifier for the benefit of having a more flexible speaker system.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 703-305-4359. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Isen can be reached on 703-305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.



**MINSUN OH HARVEY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Application/Control Number: 09/593,924

Art Unit: 2644

Page 13

DF